



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,299		07/11/2003	James G. Barsoum	A123 CON	6907	
1473	7590	04/14/2005		EXAMINER		
		IP GROUP	KELLY, ROBERT M			
ROPES &		LLP THE AMERICAS FL	. C3	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		10020-1105		1632		
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/14/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/618,299	BARSOUM ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert M. Kelly	1632						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess					
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	bruary 2004.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			'					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 34-53 is/are pending in the appl	ication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1 and 34-53</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-	·152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-15	52)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	, — —							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

It is noted that Applicant's representative contacted the Examiner on 4/5/05 and notified the Examiner that the Restriction requirement in this Application on 3/9/05 was improper because: (1) a preliminary amendment cancelled claims 2-33 and added claims 34-53; and (2) the instant Application is not under 35 USC 371, but actually a continuation Application under 35 USC 120 of a PCT Application.

Therefore, the previous restriction requirement of 3/9/05 is withdrawn, and the time for response is reset with the issuance of this official action.

It is further noted that Applicant did not indicate the new and cancelled limitations of claim 1 as amended in the preliminary amendment of 7/11/03, as required in 37 CFR 1.121, et seq., however the amendments to the claims are entered for sake of compact prosecution.

Applicant is notified that if current amendment practice is not followed in the future, Applicant will receive a notice of non-compliant amendment.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 34-49, and 52-53, drawn to compositions comprising a viral vector encoding a therapeutic gene product and a carrier and methods of increasing the level of a therapeutic gene product and modulating the toxicity associated with a virally-encoded transgene comprising administration of an agent that modulates

Art Unit: 1632

kupffer cell function or levels, and a viral vector encoding the therapeutic gene product, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

II. Claims 50-51, drawn to methods of delivering a virally-encoded transgene in a subject comprising identifying a dosage inflection point for the virus-encoding the transgene and comparing the inflection point to the level of gene product produced in the subject, and adjusting the dosages, if necessary, classified in class 436, subclass 63.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Inventions are patentably distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together, and each require distinct, non-coextensive steps, requiring distinct, non-coextensive search and examination considerations. For example, Group I requires the administration of an agent that modulates kupffer cell level or function, while Group II requires the determination of the levels of transgene expression as a function of administered levels of virus. These distinct, non-coextensive, steps would require different, distinct, and non-coextensive considerations which would pose a serious burden on the Examiner if these two Groups were to searched and examined together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,299

Art Unit: 1632

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) Claims 43, 44, and 45 each recite 12 methods of administration, of which Applicant is required to choose one method of administration; and
- (2) Claims 40 and 42 limit the subject to either rodent or human, of which Applicant is required to choose one subject type.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 34-38 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,299 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kelly whose telephone number is (571) 272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Kelly, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1632 2C55 Remsen Building (571) 272-0729

DAVETRONG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER